UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION 2 3 4 EQUIFAX, INC., CUSTOMER Case Number IN RE: 5 DATA SECURITY BREACH LITIGATION 1:17-md-2800-TWT 6 7 8 9 Transcript of an excerpt (the Court's ruling) 10 of a telephone conference call before 11 The Honorable Thomas W. Thrash, Jr., Chief Judge 12 July 30, 2019; 4:06 p.m. 13 Atlanta, Georgia 14 15 16 17 18 19 (Appearances on page two) 20 21 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer. 22 23 Diane Peede, RMR, CRR, CRC Federal Official Court Reporter 24 75 Ted Turner Drive, SW, Suite 2194 Atlanta, Georgia 30303-3309 25

Ī	1		
1	Appearances:		
2	Counsel for Plaintiffs:	Kenneth S. Canfield Amy E. Keller Roy E. Barnes	
3			
4		J. Cameron Tribble Barrett Vahle	
5			
6	Counsel for Defendant:	David L. Balser	
7		Phyllis B. Sumner Robert D. Griest	
8		Michelle Kisloff Edith Ramirez	
9	Counsel for the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection: Jenelle M. De P. Solange Hi		
10		Jenelle M. Dennis P. Solange Hilfinger-Pardo Emily Mintz Sachs	
11			
12		Akash Desai	
13			
14	Counsel for the Federal Trade Commission:	Jacqueline K. Connor	
15		Tiffany George Cathlin Tully	
16		·	
17	Counsel for Illinois Attorney General's Office:		
18		Matthew Van Hise Elizabeth Blackston	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24 25			
20			

PROCEEDINGS

* * * * *

THE COURT: Well, I think this is a situation, number one, that should be dealt with immediately.

Two, I did not anticipate the nature of the publicity that the settlement received, some of which I think was not necessarily productive in terms of promoting an orderly, honest and non-fraudulent claims process.

I've had at least a dozen people ask me, some facetiously, some quite seriously, "When do I get my \$125 check?"

So I believe it is a serious situation that needs to be addressed before we go forward with what I hope will be an orderly, fair, just and non-fraudulent claims process.

So I approve the Plaintiffs' proposal as to how to deal with the issue. Number one, to notify the class, to change the website, to modify the e-mail notice, to modify the call center script, to notify class members that in order to claim alternative compensation, they must provide the administrator with the name of their credit-monitoring service.

Second, I approve the proposed amendment to the claim form requiring claimants to furnish the name of their credit-monitoring service and attest that they will have it for six months.

And, third, I approve the Plaintiffs' proposal to notify existing claimants that they must submit an amended claim which includes the name of their credit-monitoring service; and that if they choose, they may choose rather than alternative compensation, to elect instead credit-monitoring services from Equifax.

I don't believe that changes the deal. I'm not persuaded that it significantly changes Equifax's risk. And I think that's the most appropriate way of ensuring that all members of the class are notified of the same alternatives in terms of what they can obtain through the claims process procedure.

So do you have any questions about what I've decided, Mr. Canfield?

MR. CANFIELD: I don't have any questions about it, Judge. The only -- about what you said. The only question is whether it's the Court's preference to enter a formal order.

We're not convinced that a formal order is necessary, particularly given that the settlement agreement allows some non-material changes to the settlement documents, and we don't think that these are really material changes.

I'm not quite sure what Equifax's position is on whether an order is required.

We're certainly willing to have the Court enter an

order, if that's its preference; but we think with the Court's rulings today, that the parties will be able to work these issues out without a further order of the Court. If that proves to be a mistaken impression, then, obviously, we can come back. But we're willing to do this whatever way the Court feels is appropriate.

THE COURT: Mr. Balser, any questions about what I've held or comments on the need for a formal written order?

MR. BALSER: No, Your Honor. I think your order on the transcript, I think, is sufficiently clear and binding such that a written order would not be necessary for purposes of the record. So I don't think we need a formal order, with the understanding that what you have just articulated is, in fact, an order of the Court and that you are directing the parties to proceed as you've outlined.

THE COURT: I am so ordering the parties to proceed, Mr. Balser.

MR. BALSER: Thank you. And we'll work with Mr. Canfield to finalize the language on these various points and get the changes implemented swiftly.

THE COURT: Do any of the regulators want to comment on my order or directions, whatever it is you want to call it?

MS. DENNIS: This is Jenelle Dennis from the CFPB, Your Honor. No. Thank you very much for allowing us to

participate today. THE COURT: All right. I believe that concludes the conference call, and thank you very much, counsel. I am terminating the call. (Proceedings concluded at 5:04 p.m.) Reporter's Certification I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. s/Diane Peede, RMR, CRR, CRC Official Court Reporter United States District Court Date: July 31,2019 Northern District of Georgia